

HIGH PRIORITY MAIL

January 2, 2012

The Corporation of the Township of Brock
PO Box 10
1 Cameron St. East
Cannington, ON
L0E 1E0

Attention: Sarah Beauregard-Jones, By Law Enforcement Officer

Dear Sir or Madam:

PROTEST AGAINST THE GRANTING OF A LICENCE TO STORY BOOK FARM PRIMATE SANCTUARY AND REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION - SUNDERLAND ONTARIO - HOLDER OF CHARITABLE REGISTRATION NUMBER BN 840817910RR0001

We are a number of private Canadian and non-Canadian citizens greatly concerned of the recent tragedy whereby an eight months old Japanese snow macaque was taken away from his human mother and placed with Story Book Farm Primate Sanctuary (the "Sanctuary") operated by Ms. Sherri Delaney. We are writing to request a full investigation in the operation of the Sanctuary. For your kind information, we are aware that the Sanctuary has no proper licence to operate the Sanctuary though the Township of Brock has agreed not to enforce the existing exotic animal prohibition by-law against the Sanctuary pending review and approval of its application. Our concerns are based on the following grounds.

(1) As the Sanctuary had not been granted a licence to operate, the Sanctuary ought not to have accepted new residents until the finalization of its licensing. The adoption of the Japanese baby macaque, Darwin was premature and inappropriate in the circumstances.

(2) On Tuesday, December 18, 2012, Ms. Delaney, verbally confirmed to a member of the public that all her staff was registered with Ontario Association of Veterinary Technicians ("OAVT"). Since then, it was confirmed with OAVT that the members were not registered with OAVT. We are hoping that OAVT will initiate their own investigation in relation to licences/registrations that Sherri Delaney reported they hold. The following are the members who the member of public was falsely advised by Mrs. Sherri Delaney are registered with OAVT: Sherri Delaney, Len White, Leslie Barrett, Kim Meehan, Rachelle Hansen, Charmaine Quinn, Jen Ross, Ann Walsh, Kate Garel, Brooke Lehman, Alisha Williamson, Elise Schembri, Karen Allan, Tori Brown, Tracey Burns, Samantha Lam, Amanda Tawde, Lauren Saville, Bethan Morgan, Sharon DiGenova, Michael van Hoogenhuize, Izzy Hirji, Krista Pedersen, Jackie Craig, Vanessa Phelan, Stephanie Munro, Erica Jacques, Ingrid Lugo, Verhoeckx and Sepideh Kamali. Ms. Delaney's misrepresentation of her qualifications and that of her volunteers is unethical and unbecoming of an owner of an organization mandated to act in the best interests of the community.

(3) The Sanctuary's mission statement as published on its web site is to heal and save animals from abuse and fear. See attached a copy of the Home Page of the Sanctuary attached herein as appendix "A". It is our humble position that at the time the Sanctuary took over Darwin the baby monkey, there was no evidence of any abuse or neglect by its owner. Toronto Animal Services confirmed in

its official statement to the media that though the baby monkey was not happy, it was healthy. Public opinion was that the monkey was well dressed and did not show any indication that it was abused. Tests performed on Darwin also confirmed that he was in good health. We are advised that the repeated requests for the return of Darwin to its owner, who guaranteed the animal would be relocated to another city where there was no prohibition of owning exotic animals, were refused without just cause. Despite Ms. Delaney's admission that where a baby monkey has imprinted on a human and there is a bond between the two, any separation may create significant trauma to the primate, she refused to do so. When pressured to grant access, Ms. Delaney imposed such stringent and absurd access terms on the owner and the primate that the owner turned down the visitation rights afraid that exercising access in compliance with those terms would be psychologically damaging on the baby macaque. A copy of the proposed terms of access offered by Ms. Delaney through her counsel is enclosed herein as appendix "B". For your kind information, the owner of the macaque is a female professional, a mother of two teenagers, with no criminal record.

Meanwhile there is admission by Ms. Sherri Delaney that at the time she adopted Pockets Warhol, a resident primate from its consenting owner, Ms. Delaney allowed Pockets' owner not only to enter the cage of the primate but to be there for the entire day for four consecutive days from 08:00 a.m. to 05:00 p.m. In a You Tube posted by the Sanctuary as recently as September 5, 2012, Ms. Delaney confirmed that the presence of Pockets' owner facilitated the transfer and eased any stress caused to the animal during the transfer and happens to be one of the "best stories" of the Sanctuary. See the following link: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qp7v_gwMvVw&feature=endscreen&NR=1 (at 20:00/29:50). In case the video link has been removed by the Sanctuary, the undersigned petitioners would be happy to provide your office with a recording for your examination.

Ms. Delaney's subjective determination of the transfer process for primates from their human owners to the sanctuary is fraught with bias and appears to affect her appreciation of what is in the best interests of the resident primates. There is strong indication here that Ms. Delaney is not acting for the benefit of the animals she provides shelter for but is acting for her own personal interest and benefit. It is the petitioners humble submission that Ms. Delaney is guilty of cruelty to Darwin, the baby macaque by purposefully attempting to sever a healthy bond between the owner and her primate, or, in the alternative, the Sanctuary was not protecting Darwin from abuse or fear as per its own mandate but merely imposing its own judgement of private primate ownership on the animal and its owner.

(4) The Sanctuary claims that animals which are taken by the Sanctuary are allowed to live a life closer to the natural habitat they were taken from. There is little or no evidence of the residents of the Sanctuary being provided with such closer return to their natural habitat. Information received from visitors of the Sanctuary confirms that all the animals are caged in small cages, mostly alone with little or no social interaction. The Sanctuary refuses access or use of cameras in order to prevent the uncovering of further information regarding the standard of care of its residents. Pictures that were posted on the Sanctuary's website prior to the Sanctuary taking possession of Darwin and which were removed immediately when the Sanctuary took possession of the baby macaque disclosed that (1) the size of the cages, holding cells or other enclosures where some of the animals were kept was nothing close to a natural environment, (2) existence of filth, decay and lack of maintenance; and (3) that the residents at the Sanctuary did not enjoy the attention and caring that the Sanctuary now displays or professes they receive. We are attaching herewith pictures that were removed by the Sanctuary showing the existence of rusty bars and restrictive fencing holding suffering and/or unhealthy and/or unhappy primates as appendix "C", and "D". There is also a picture of Darwin taken by the media which poses concern as it shows what appears to be an electrical cord within the reach of the baby macaque. See Appendix "E".

In fact, there is concern of abuse as there have been admissions of use of date rape drugs on the residents to control them. There is also proof that abusive and intrusive surgical procedure such as castration has been used on a primate who the Sanctuary took over to “protect and save from fear and abuse” : http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttdzHL_1b50 (Julien’s Big Day is a video recording of the publicized castration of a resident male monkey).

(5) The Sanctuary’s mission is to educate people. Yet it appears that information imparted to the public is generally biased and used to create a fear of monkeys. Primatologists and other experts can confirm that with appropriate training and care, monkeys can be controlled and become good pets and can make contributions to humans. Boston College for e.g., trains monkeys to assist disabled persons and the program has been successful: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iGdHjSry-A>. In Japan, Japanese Snow Macaques have received labour law protection to work as waiters in a restaurant – a place where food is served and the monkey servers interact with strangers: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KeSQ5Rv7eH8>.

(6) The Sanctuary advises that monkeys are violent and kill people and yet statistics received from US where there is a large population of primate owners show that the incidents of violence are few and there were no cases of death reported in a period of 10 years. Attached herewith are pertinent statistical and informative reports regarding ownership of primates and frequency of bites as appendix “F1”, “F2”, “F3” and “F4”.

(7) The Sanctuary has used factual medical information in a prejudicial way without cause to create fear of monkeys in humans. The petitioners are prepared to submit evidence that primates are *not* a threat to public safety and in fact prove statistically to be safer than your common pet dog or cat. Additionally they do not carry diseases that are transferable to humans. According to the US health reports (US research reports here are being used as in US there are over 15,000.00 private primate owners), there has never been a case of rabies infection in a nonhuman primate that was euthanized or quarantined after a bite incident in the US. Nonhuman primates are not carriers of the rabies virus nor has a pet primate ever transferred ANY disease to a human. The rare cases of disease transmission from a primate were acquired from research facilities where primates are imported directly from the wild. They are not captive bred primates. In addition, research facilities inject diseases into these primates for the purposes of research. Pet primates of today are born in captivity and do not harbor diseases. Whilst it is true that monkeys may carry herpes which is fatal to humans, such transmission is very difficult and there is no statistical evidence to support any death toll to make this fact a fear factor. Please see report enclosed as appendix “G1”, “G2”, “G3”, “G4” and “G5” regarding carriage of herpes by monkeys which is self explanatory.

(8) Story Book Farm Primate Sanctuary appears to be a place of animal hoarding and unfit for its residents. The Sanctuary has publicly admitted that they were not expecting Darwin and therefore he could not be caged in a better enclosure than the current small holding cell. In an article dated December 4, 2007 to the Globe And Mail, while Ms. Delaney reported that the sanctuary was at “full capacity” she added that she was still thinking of rescuing 6 more primates in her area. Again, the sanctuary confesses back on March 22, 2010 to durhamregion.com and on March 24, 2011 to the Toronto Star, that they were “almost out of room” and “filled to capacity” (source: <http://www.storybookmonkeys.org/media.htm>) yet the sanctuary continues to take in new residents. Visitors have also reported that the place was cramped. There are currently 22 or more animals in a 4000 square feet area, most of them being primates who can jump 15 feet in one leap. As recently as December 10, 2012 Ms. Delaney indicated to CBC News that she was expecting

two female rhesus macaques in the next few weeks (<http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/story/2012/12/10/toronto-ikea-monkey>. In a youtube posted by Ms. Delaney on September 5, 2012, Ms. Delaney admits seeking to bring in chimpanzees and requires her followers to “stay tuned” as she plans further expansion to add to her collection of primates: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qp7v_gwMvVw&feature=endscreen&NR=1. The petitioners take the view that these video recordings indicate that the Sanctuary’s owner Ms. Delaney primary agenda is not the rescue of unwanted or neglected animals but to build a private zoo or collection of primates and other exotic animals.

(9) Immediately upon the capture of Darwin, the Sanctuary revamped its website removing existing information of its residents and modified them so that the residents appear to be in better health and condition. Reports have been received from many Facebook users that unfavorable comments made by Facebook users were actively deleted by the Sanctuary so that the Sanctuary could preserve its good public image. The sanctuary appears to be concealing its true intent and purpose by tampering with viewer postings to manipulate public opinion.

(10) The Sanctuary appears to be driven by business income generation rather than animal care and safety. Immediately upon taking in Darwin, the baby macaque, as its new “celebrity” resident, the Sanctuary revamped its website adding a new section entitled “DOLLARS FOR DARWIN”. Pictures of the baby macaque are being sold to the public for \$50.00 -\$100.00 each and the Sanctuary created a Facebook page for Darwin which blocked members of the public protesting against such financial exploitation. The Sanctuary has admitted to raising donations totaling \$15,000.00 in a week through Darwin alone.

Further, there is disturbing indication that Ms. Sherri Delaney, a Durham Region police officer may have attempted to misuse the charitable status of the Sanctuary by offering a Canadian Citizen, a tax receipt for \$3,000.00 for a donation she never received from him during negotiations for the purchase of a trailer which she indicated to him was to be used for housing cages on sanctuary grounds. The undersigned are also concerned that the purported purchase of a trailer to cage animals of the Sanctuary (for quarantine or otherwise) indicates that the Sanctuary is unable to provide the “natural habitat” it promises to give to the animals it keeps hoarding and is desperate for new facilities and funding. A request for a tax audit and investigation into the validity of issuance of tax receipts by the Sanctuary is being directed to Charities Directorate, Revenue Canada Agency who is also being copied with the petition herein.

(11) There is information, from some of the petitioners herein and through Facebook comments which were intentionally deleted by the Sanctuary that Ms. Delaney may have harassed owners of exotic pets and used coercion to have them surrender or persuade them to surrender their animals to the Sanctuary. It is to be noted that Ms. Delaney claims that she is a “published author” on her web site and yet we are in receipt of information that the only publication that she has published was the Durham Police 25th Anniversary 1976-1999, a publication that was apparently recalled due to various misrepresentations and fabrications which included report of a police officer’s death at a shoot out when in fact there was no such death.

The petitioners are inclined to believe, pending an inquiry to show otherwise, that the Sanctuary (1) is unqualified and lacks the expertise and knowledge to take care of primates; (2) is guilty of cruelty and abuse to its residents; (3) is operating on its own personal agenda and mission to stop private ownership of exotic animals; (4) operates primarily for its own pecuniary interest and gratification; (4) has failed to serve the community interest; (5) is not acting in the interest of the animals it has a mandate to protect; (6) is guilty of unethical misrepresentation, bias and prejudice;

(7) has misled and/or misinformed the public (8) has actively concealed its true agenda by manipulation of facebook and You Tube viewer postings; and (9) has attempted to misuse its charitable status to raise donations inappropriately . As such we require that you do the needful to forthwith investigate into the following:

- The background of Ms. Sherri Delaney and the competence of the owners, associates and volunteers in providing care to the residents of the Sanctuary
- Compliance of the Sanctuary with applicable statutory regulations to include, building code, fire code, Electrical Act and OSPCA
- Hoarding of animals
- Cruelty and abuse to animals
- The questionable procedures used by the Sanctuary for neutering Julien a “protected” resident of the Sanctuary
- Exploitation of animals for pecuniary gains
- Separation of loving owners from their pets without just cause
- Manipulation of public opinion and tampering with viewer postings
- Misuse or abuse of its charitable status by the Sanctuary

Please be advised that the undersigned petitioners strongly oppose the granting of a licence to the Sanctuary. Based on information and other reports obtained by the undersigned, the undersigned are inclined to believe that the Sanctuary not only wants to destroy any relationship private pet owners may have with their primates and other pets but to use its residents for its own gratification. The Sanctuary appears to be private ownership of animals without the sanctity of a sanctuary. We request an immediate enquiry in its operation and your findings be made public.

We thank you for your prompt attention herein. You may reply to us by e-letter at petition.for.baby.darwin@gmail.com. Please note that the list of petitioners keeps growing as we speak and we will be forwarding you a supplemental list of names of petitioners by January 28, 2012.

Yours truly,

The concerned petitioners whose names appear on the list herein.

Cc: OSPCA
6586 Woodbine Ave., Newmarket, Ontario, L3Y 4W1

Bcc: Charities Directorate, Canada Revenue Agency, Ottawa, ON K1A 0L5
By email at CharitiesComplianceDivisi.LPRA@cra-arc.gc.ca

LIST OF PETITIONERS

1. Shahriar Sanayei Lotfabadi, Toronto, ON, Canada
2. Peggy Rice, Virginia, Ohio, USA
3. Jeya Seevaratnam, Toronto, ON, Canada
4. Bandana Rana, Toronto, ON, Canada
5. Romi Jaszczynska, Toronto, ON, Canada
6. Kelly Snooks, Beaverton, ON, Canada
7. Sandra Dvorski, Kitchener, ON, Canada
8. Joelle Duchaine, Kitchener, ON, Canada
9. Chelsea Rowe, Kitchener, ON, Canada
10. Jennifer Wilson, Kitchener, ON, Canada
11. Jela Jakovljevic, Mississauga, ON, Canada
12. Karen Torres, El Salvador, Central America
13. Gaetano Romano, Picton, ON, Canada
14. Saidaltaf Patel, Toronto, ON, Canada
15. Patricia Giankas, Toronto, ON, Canada
16. Montasir Abdo, Toronto, ON, Canada
17. Thomas Choi, Toronto, ON, Canada
18. Kulendram Aloysius, Toronto, ON, Canada
19. Harvinder Bhogal, Toronto, ON, Canada
20. Mujtaba Eblagh, Toronto, ON, Canada
21. Fares Sultan, Toronto, ON, Canada
22. Reem Sultan, Toronto, ON, Canada
23. Rita Katoch, Toronto, ON, Canada
24. Ibrahim Mohammed, Toronto, ON, Canada
25. Ahsan Qureshi, Toronto, ON, Canada
26. Shahzad Habib, Toronto, ON, Canada
27. Joy-Galloway White, Richer, Manitoba, Canada
28. Stuart White, Richer, Manitoba, Canada
29. Aneta Barry, Guelph, ON, Canada
30. Hengride Permal, London, UK
31. Mobina Khan, Toronto, ON, Canada
32. Jolanta Krystkowicz, Toronto, ON, Canada
33. Samant Katoch, Toronto, ON, Canada
34. Massood Azaryan, London UK
35. Dub Rimmer, Ponder, Texas
36. Daljinder Gill, Markham, ON, Canada
37. Neelufeer Sidhu, Markham, ON, Canada
38. Maya Elchi, Toronto, ON, Canada
39. Ghayas Ustwani, Toronto, ON, Canada
40. Justin Blaney, Mississauga, ON, Canada
41. Cynthia Jewell, Florida, USA
42. Hossein Behrouzi, Toronto, ON, Canada
43. Amelia Wocjik, Brampton, ON, Canada
44. Rhonda Martin, Arkansas, US
45. Irena Selezzen, Toronto, ON, Canada
46. Stacey Marlow, Indiana, US

47. Prudence B. Walker, DVMKnoxville TN
48. Margaret Hosseini, San Antonio, Texas
49. David Browder, San Antonio, Texas
50. Cricket Hosseini, San Antonio, Texas
51. Rita Hosseini, San Antonio, Texas
52. Shamini Bhagirathan, Toronto, ON, Canada
53. Padma Seevaratnam, Toronto, ON, Canada
54. Hema Nandakumar, Toronto, ON, Canada
55. Brenda Alexander Clover, S.C. USA
56. Jomy Karakkattu, Toronto, ON, Canada
57. Daniella, Di Nenno, Toronto, ON, Canada
58. Valerie Ardiyants, Toronto, ON, Canada
59. Melissa Corbo, Toronto, ON, Canada
60. Anis Mulla, Toronto, ON, Canada
61. Azim Ibrahim Hira, Toronto, ON, Canada
62. Mirza Alakoozai, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada
63. Shokria Alakoozai, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada
64. Misfillah Rashid, Toronto, ON, Canada
65. Areej Rashid, Toronto, ON, Canada
66. Sulakshana Makun, Pickering, ON, Canada
67. Shahzad Habib, Toronto, ON, Canada
68. Hosein Behrouzi, Toronto, ON, Canada
69. Assaduzaman Mohammad, Toronto, ON, Canada
70. Betsy Cavner, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA
71. Sandy Viguers, Texas, USA
72. Pauline Laborde, Texas, USA
73. Judy Lawter, North Carolina, USA
74. Mary E. Wenz, Illinois, USA
75. Mel Orr, Dillsburg, PA
76. Linda Deskins, Ohio, USA
77. Janet Campbell, Ohio, USA
78. Janet Norman, Indiana, USA
79. Melanie Touchet, Texas, USA
80. Tammy Hauptman, DeKalb, Illinois 60155
81. Frankie Halls , Toronto, ON, Canada
82. Michael Issacs, Toronto, ON, Canada
83. Ian Thomas, Beaverton, ON, Canada
84. Tiffany Snooks, Sunderland, ON, Canada
85. Ilde Key, Beaverton, ON, Canada
86. Brian Rabey, Beaverton, ON, Canada
87. Zac Wright, Sunderland, ON, Canada
88. Jessie Grant, Beaverton, ON, Canada
89. Nickie Boening, SC, USA
90. Agata Iwanczuk, Toronto, ON, Canada
91. Chris Iwanczuk , Toronto, ON, Canada
92. Meera Sharma, Brampton, ON, Canada
93. Shabnam Jethalal, Toronto, ON, Canada
94. Yasmin Hira, Toronto, ON, Canada
95. Wilfred Robbins, Toronto, ON, Canada
96. Naseem Saleh, Toronto, ON, Canada

97. Tessa Nelson, Toronto, ON, Canada
98. Sherah Buhary, Toronto, ON, Canada
99. Nasur Tuan Buhary, Toronto, ON, Canada
100. Vilma D'Souza, Toronto, ON, Canada
101. Hooriya Carrim Marikar, Toronto, ON, Canada
102. Kevin Barry, Guelph, ON, Canada
103. Don O'Connor, Kitchener, ON, Canada
104. Doug Benoit, Kitchener, ON, Canada
105. Barbie Craig, Kitchener, ON, Canada
106. Sherry Jochen, Tennessee, USA
107. Donna L. Bandy, Ohio, USA
108. Trisa Reber, Gregory, South Dakota,
109. Nolan Galloway-White, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
110. Sunny Kayshap, Toronto, ON, Canada
111. Dr. Chris Kranendonk, DVM, Dufresne, Manitoba, Canada
112. Dr. Jane Kranendonk, DVM, Dufresne, Manitoba, Canada
113. Meggie Kranendonk, Dufresne, Manitoba, Canada
114. Darrell Johnstone, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
115. Crystal Brisson, Stouffville, Ontario, Canada
116. Dan White, Calgary, Alberta, Canada